Supplemental CSO Team – Session 2

PVSC Service Area North Bergen Service Area Long Term Control Plan

January 10, 2017



- Introduction
 - Supplemental CSO Team Roster
 - Overview of the SharePoint Site
- Recap of the October 5th, 2016 Supplemental CSO Team Meeting
- History of Combined Sewers in the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission District
- NJDEP New Jersey CSO Permits
- Permit Responsibilities
- Highlights from CSO LTCPs from Elsewhere in the U.S.
- Branding of LTCP Program
- Other Issues
- Adjourn



Introduction

Supplemental CSO Team Members

Member	Organization	Member	Organization
Matt Dorans	Bayonne Chamber of Commerce	Sandra Meola	Paterson Smart
Ben Costanza	Bayonne Chamber of Commerce	Ruben Gomez	City of Paterson Economic Development
David P. Donnelly	Jersey City Redevelopment Agency	Sheri Ferreira	Greater Paterson Chamber of Commerce
Nicole Miller	Newark DIG	Betty Jane Boros	New Jersey Business & Industrial Association
Molly Greenberg	Ironbound Community Corporation	Debbie Mans	NY/NJ Baykeeper
Robin Dougherty	Newark Greater Conservancy/Newark Business Partnership	Meiyin Wu, Ph.D	Montclair State University - Passaic River Institute
Jorge Santos	Newark Community Economic Development Corporation	Christopher C. Obropta, Ph.D	Rutgers University - Cooperative Extension Water Resources
Christopher Pianese	Township of North Bergen	Captain Bill Sheehan	Hackensack Riverkeeper
Janet Castro	Hudson Regional Health Commission Town of North Bergen	Harvey Morginstin	Passaic River Boat Club & Passaic River Superfund CAG
Thomas Stampe	North Bergen "Sustainable Jersey" group	Laurie Howard	Passaic River Coalition
Nancy Kontos	Bunker Hill Special Improvement District	Ben Delisle	Passaic River Rowing Association
Sara K. Schultzer,	Jersey City Environmental Commission		(D)

What is the Supplemental CSO Team?

- Informal Working Group
- Liaison Between General Public and Decision Makers for Permittee(s)
- <u>Not</u> Expected to be Experts in CSOs or Engineering

Supplemental CSO Team SharePoint Site





Prior Meeting Recap

What is a CSO?



What is a CSO?



Why are We Concerned about CSOs?

- CSOs Discharge Untreated Wastewater During Wet Weather
- CSO Discharges Contain:
 - Disease Causing Organisms in Waterbodies, Measured as Enterococcus, Fecal Coliform, and E.Coli
 - Cause of Intestinal Illness in Recreational Users of Waterbodies Swimmers Boaters Waders

Permittees

Permittee	Municipality	WWTP	CSOs	
Bayonne MUA	Bayonne		30	N.Haledon Hawthorne Rock
Borough of East Newark	East Newark		1	Haledon Park Fair Lawn 4 Miles
Town of Harrison	Harrison		7	Totowa Woodland Park Brook
Jersey City MUA	Jersey City		21	Little Park Ealls Clifton Bangaiter
Town of Kearny	Kearny	PVSC	5	r assalt Wallington
City of Newark	Newark		18	Montclair Nutley Rutherford N.Bergen
North Bergen MUA	North Bergen		7	Ridge Belle ville Arlington Guttenberg
City of Paterson	Paterson		23	Orange E.Orange E.Newark Keamy
PVSC	-		0	Newark Jersey City
Town of Guttenberg	Guttenberg	Woodcliff	1	A Start
North Bergen MUA*	North Bergen		1	Bayonne
	Total		114	And the second of the

* North Bergen MUA conveys flows to both PVSC and Woodcliff WWTPs

What Can be Done to Reduce CSOs?

- Optimize Operations for Delivering Flow to Wastewater Treatment Plant
- Upgrade Treatment Facilities to Treat More Wet Weather Flow
 - Wet Weather Flow is Typically Orders of Magnitude Greater Than Dry Weather Flow
 - Requires Additional Conveyance Capacity as Well (Pipes)
- Provide Storage for Excess Volume Until Conveyance and Plant Capacity Recovers
 - Tanks and Tunnels
 - Source Controls/Green Infrastructure
- Provide Satellite Treatment Facilities
- Reduce Flows Getting to Collection System
 - Separate Sewers
 - Source Controls/Green Infrastructure

ALL SIGNIFICANT CAPITAL PROJECTS

Long Term Control Plan Requirements

- 1. Monitoring and Modeling
- 2. Public Participation (Supplemental CSO Team)
- 3. Consideration of Sensitive Areas
- 4. Evaluation of Alternatives
- 5. Cost/Performance Considerations
- 6. Operational Plan
- 7. Maximizing Treatment at the Existing STP
- 8. Implementation Schedule
- 9. Compliance Monitoring Program

Overview of Progress To Date (Current Permit)

- Advisory/Warning Signs Posted Near Outfalls
- CSO Notification System (http://njcso.hdrgateway.com)
- CSO Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reporting (DMRs)
- Work Plans/QAPPs Submitted to NJDEP
 - Baseline Compliance Monitoring Plan/QAP Approved
 - System Characterization and Landside Modeling QAPP Approved
 - Other Existing System Characterization Documents Approved
- Monthly Meetings Amongst the Permittees
- Evaluation of Previous Models and Further Model Development
- Completed Flow Monitoring Program
- Actively Performing Water Quality Monitoring and Model Development



History of Combined Sewers in the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission District

Evolution of the Combined Sewer System

- Mid to Late 1800's Conduits constructed in large cities to transport both sewage and drainage to the river
 - The Passaic River and Newark Bay become open sewers
- 1896 Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission created by the Governor of New Jersey
 - 2nd oldest environmental commission in the US house connection
 - EPA created in 1973
- catch basin wet weathe
- 1902 NJ State Legislature passes act to continue PVSC in perpetuity "...for the purpose of relieving the streams and rivers therein from pollution and to provide a plan for the prevention thereof..."
- August 1909 Nereid Boat Club in Rutherford terminates future annual regattas in the Passaic River due to health risks from pollution

Evolution of the Combined Sewer System

1911 – First Municipalities Contract for Treatment

Combined	<u>Separate</u>	
East Newark	Belleville	North Arlington
Harrison	Clifton	Nutley
Kearny	East Rutherford	Passaic
Newark	Garfield	Rutherford
Paterson	Lyndhurst	Wallington

- 1912 to 1924 Treatment facilities and over 23 miles of interceptor sewers constructed
 - Primary treatment of approximately 150 MGD
- 1981 Secondary treatment began (without primary settling)
- 1985 Primary clarifiers online



PVSC Interceptor Construction, 1920s

Regulation of Flow for Combined Sewer Systems

18

 PVSC Regulator Facilities Constructed as Part of the Interceptor Sewer Regulate and Limit Wet Weather Flows to the Capacity of the Interceptor Sewer and Sewage Treatment Plant



Operation and Ownership of Combined Sewer Systems





NJDEP Presentation NJ CSO Permits

Combined Sewer Overflows Supplemental CSO Team January 10, 2017

Susan Rosenwinkel, CSO Program Bureau of Surface Water Permitting, NJDEP Susan.rosenwinkel@dep.nj.gov

Presentation Overview

- Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Basics
- Where are CSOs located?
- What has been accomplished thus far?
- What does the NJPDES CSO Permit require?
- Questions

What is a Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO)?



Combined Sewer Systems

- Combined Sewer Systems are remnants of our country's early infrastructure.
- They are outdated and in need of repair.



CSOs in the US

- 772 communities
- 9350 outfalls
- 850 billion gallons discharged per year



How Many are there in NJ?



- 21 communities
- 210 permitted outfalls
- 9 POTWs

Northeast: 179 outfalls, 7 communities and 7 POTWs

Camden County: 30 outfalls, 2 communities and 1 POTW

Trenton: 1 outfall, 1 community and 1 POTW

Progress Thus Far

- Solids/floatables controls at most outfalls
 - 2847 yd³ removed from 7/15 to 6/16.
- \$1.5 Billion in funding
- Elimination of 64 outfalls





...However

• About 23 billion gallons of raw sewage is still discharged per year.

What Makes NJ Different?

- Nationally permittees own the entire Combined Sewer System. Generally, this is not true in NJ.
 - For example, the **collection system** is owned by the township, the **regulators and CSO outfalls** are owned by the local municipal utilities authority and the **POTW and its outfall(s)** are owned by a regional utility authority.
- EPA wrote consent decrees. NJ wrote permits.
 - 25 CSO NJPDES permits effective July 1, 2015
 - Individual permits were issued instead of General permits
 - Allows for greater flexibility
 - Goal: Reduce or eliminate CSO discharges

A New Day! July 1, 2015

- 25 Individual NJPDES CSO Permits were issued which require an ambitious schedule with cascading permit requirements.
- The permit is front loaded where many of the requirements have been satisfied.
- Remaining requirements include LTCP dates.

A New and Different Approach to Permits

- Permits were issued by hydraulically connected system to ensure a more cohesive long term control plan.
- All nine systems chose to **work together** to develop a long term control plan.
- Permits build off the previous general permits but require submission of a robust long term control plan.

NJDEP CSOs Online

http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/cso.htm



CSO Outfall Interactive Map

http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/cso-basics.htm



CSO Permits – Two Components

- Nine Minimum Controls (NMC)
 - Simple, low cost measures
 - Compliance required by January 1997
 - Mostly carried forward but with some enhancements
- Long Term Control Plan (LTCP)
 - Dictates a path to achieve the goal
 - Goal is to reduce or eliminate CSO discharges water quality and technology
 - Due June 2020
 - Substantially new requirements

Nine Minimum Controls (NMC)

- Proper operation and maintenance
- Maximize use of collection system for storage
- Review of pretreatment requirements
- Maximize flow to POTW for treatment
- Elimination of discharges during dry weather (SSO)
- Control of solids/floatables
- Pollution prevention
- Public notification
- Monitoring of impacts and efficacy of controls



Solids Floatables Statewide

- 97.1% of permitted CSO outfalls have solids floatable removal
- From July 2015 thru June 2016, solids floatable capture totaled 2,847 yd³



Public Notification - NHSA



11/29/16; 4:57 PM

http://www.nhudsonsa.com/Public/waterbody.html

Public Notification - Northeast



Long Term Control Plan (LTCP)

- Characterization, monitoring and modeling (System Characterization)
- Public participation
- Consideration of sensitive areas
- Evaluation of CSO control approach and alternatives
- Cost/performance considerations
- Operational Plan
- Maximization of treatment at the POTW
- Implementation schedule
- Monitoring to demonstrate compliance with Water Quality Standards

Step 1 - System Characterization Learn the System



Step 2 - Evaluation of Alternatives *Study the Options*

- 7 Strategies Required to Be Evaluated
 - Green Infrastructure
 - Sewer Separation
 - Increased Storage
 - POTW Expansion
 - Inflow/Infiltration Reduction
 - Treatment at the CSO outfall
 - Bypass of POTW Secondary Treatment
- Alternatives analysis must include reasonable assessment of cost and performance



Step 3 - Implementation Schedule *Do it!*



- Establishes a path via projects and schedules
- Cost is considered for options and timeframe
- NJDEP must approve and will incorporate the schedule (LTCP) into the next permit(s)

Supplemental CSO Team Permit Requirement

As per NJPDES CSO Permit Part IV.G.2:

"The permittee shall invite members of the affected/interested public to establish a Supplemental CSO Team to work with the permittee's assigned staff ...and to work as an informal work group as a liason between the general public and the decision makers for the permittee..."

Goals of Supplemental CSO Team

- CSO Supplemental Teams are required to meet periodically to share information and provide input to the planning process
- Work as a liaison between the general public and decision makers.
- Provide input for consideration in the evaluation of CSO control alternatives.

Questions?

Thank you for your attention.

Susan Rosenwinkel, CSO Program Jennifer Feltis, Water Resources Management New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

> Susan.rosenwinkel@dep.nj.gov Jennifer.feltis@dep.nj.gov



Permit Responsibilities



59-Month Program Schedule and Milestones



CSO Notification System

- Public notification system
- http://njcso.hdrgateway.com/
- A predictive system, not a monitoring system
- Utilizes model derived rating curves to predict overflow events at each outfall location





How The CSO Notification System Works

- Sewer collection system models were used develop an understanding of what rainfall conditions do and don't cause CSOs at a particular regulator
 MODEL RESULTS FOR EXAMPLE LOCATION
- Rule curves were established to predict overflow events at each outfall location
- Rainfall over each regulator's drainage area is measured and compared to thresholds on the rule curve to determine whether a CSO is <u>LIKELY</u> to be occurring at that regulator
- What About Snow?
 - When ground is frozen, less water can infiltrate into the soil and more runoff can be generated, which can lead to CSOs. As a result, cold-weather responses to rainfall and snow melt can be complicated and difficult to predict.
 - The CSO Notification System assumes that frozen precipitation behaves like rainfall. This means
 the website may predict CSOs that may not be occurring. In addition, the CSO Notification System
 does not indicate when CSOs may be triggered by snow melt. The public is advised to consider
 that CSOs may occur during periods of substantial snow melt.





Highlights from CSO LTCPs from Elsewhere in the U.S.

New York City

(www.nyc.gov/html/dep/html/cso_long_term_control_plan/index.shtml)

- State of New York Department of Environmental Conservation Consent Order
 \$200,000 Civil Penalty
- City-Wide LTCP and 10 Waterbody Specific LTCPs
- Hybrid Grey-Green Approach
 - Grey \$2.7 Billion (1995 2030)
 - Green \$1.5 Billion (2012 2030)

Impervious Surface Control by GI			
Year	Target		
2015	1.5%		
2020	4%		
2025	7%		
2030	10%		



Right-of-Way Bioswale

Philadelphia (http://www.phillywatersheds.org)

- Green City, Clean Waters
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Consent Order
- USEPA Administrative Order for Compliance and Consent
- 25 Year Plan to Reduce Stormwater Pollution to Waterways by 85%
- 34% of Combined Sewer Area Controlled by Green Infrastructure
- \$1.2 Billion



Stormwater Planter at Columbus Square

Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

(http://www.neorsd.org/cso.php)

- USEPA and Ohio EPA Consent Decree
 - \$600,000 Civil Penalty
- 25 Year Plan
- Reduce Overflows from
 4.5 <u>B</u>illion Gallons to 500 <u>M</u>illion Gallons
- Primarily a Gray Infrastructure Approach
 - \$3 Billion, including \$42 Million for Green
- Tunnels
 - 7 Tunnels
 - 2 to 5 Miles Each

How big will the tunnels be? Our 25-year program to reduce Lake Erie pollution includes

Our 25-year program to reduce Lake Erie pollution includes seven huge tunnel projects. Here are their finished diameters:



@neorsd #neorsdECT neorsd.org/cleanlake





a clean water program of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

54

District of Columbia

(https://www.dcwater.com)

- 12,478 Acres Served by Combined Sewers
- 60 CSO Outfalls (Prior to LTCP)
- Long Term Control Plan (2002)
 - Plan to Reduce Overflows from 3.2 <u>B</u>illion to 138 <u>M</u>illion Gallons per Year Anacostia River, Potomac River, and Rock Creek Storage Tunnels (~13 Miles)
- Civil Penalty Settlement (2003)
 - \$250,000 Civil Penalty
 - \$1.7 million for Supplemental Environmental Projects \$300,000 for Green Roof Demonstration Projects
- USEPA Consent Decree (2005)
 - No Additional Penalty
 - 20 Year Implementation Schedule
- Amended USEPA Consent Decree (2015)
 - Extends to a 25 Year Plan
 - 500 Impervious Acres Managed by Green Infrastructure to Eliminate One Tunnel and Reduce Another Tunnel Segment Process to Evaluate GI After First Project in Each Sewershed
 - - Either Continue with Green or Go Back to Gray
- \$2.6 Billion Dollars





District of Columbia





Branding of LTCP Program

Branding Tagline Concepts

- 1. Cleaner Water, Greener Communities
- 2. Clean Water, Green Future
- 3. WaterSmart: Green Solutions for Cleaner Rivers
- 4. WaterSmart: Cleaner River, Greener Communities
- 5. Clean Water Project
- 6. Cleaner River, Healthier Neighborhoods
- 7. NJ Clean Rivers Project

- 8. Keep it Clean, Keep it Green
- 9. Cleaner River, Greener Future
- 10. Clean is Green
- 11. Green Jersey
- 12. Clean Waters
- 13. Jersey Clean Waterways
- 14. Garden State Clean Waters

Branding Logo Concepts



Greener Communities



³ WATERSMART GREEN SOLUTIONS FOR CLEANER RIVERS





Ø



1

2

5 CLEAN





Next Steps

ØP

Tasks/Action Items for Supplemental CSO Team

63

- Explore the SharePoint Site
- Provide Comments on Branding and Logo Concepts



Questions and Final Discussion